Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary       10/711,732       LABORCZFALVI         Examiner       Art Unit         VAN H. NGUYEN       2194         (1) VAN H. NGUYEN.       (3)         (2) Daniel Rose (Registration No. 63214)       (4)         Date of Interview: 11/05/2009       Time:         Type of Interview:       Type of Interview:	I ET AL.
Examiner   Art Unit   VAN H. NGUYEN   2194	
All Participants:       Status of Application: now allowed         (1) VAN H. NGUYEN.       (3)         (2) Daniel Rose (Registration No. 63214)       (4)         Date of Interview: 11/05/2009       Time:	
(1) <u>VAN H. NGUYEN</u> . (3)  (2) <u>Daniel Rose (Registration No. 63214)</u> . (4)  Date of Interview: <u>11/05/2009</u> Time:	
(2) <u>Daniel Rose (Registration No. 63214)</u> .       (4)         Date of Interview: <u>11/05/2009</u> Time:	
Date of Interview: <u>11/05/2009</u> Time:	Į.
Type of Interview:	
Telephonic  ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:  n/a	
Claims discussed: 1-37	
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant authorizes Examiner to amend the claims as presented in the examiner's amendment.	
Part III.	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>	he substance
/VAN H NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if a	appropriate)